and Singlachar spoke of the difficulties of the Maidan garden owners.

Reply of Government.—His Highness' Government recognizes the grievance and the question will be taken into consideration at an early opportunity, but no definite promise can now be made as to when and what relief can be given.

Subject No. 181.

Tax on supari to be reduced in cities and done away with in villages.

Mr. Linge Gowda of Nagavagala.

Summary of Representation.—A reduction in the tax on supari from 12 to 8 annas per maund in large cities and its remission in small villages are prayed for.

Mr. C. Vasudeva Rao spoke of lowering of prices, especially of "Gotu".

Reply of Government.—*Vide* Subject No. 180.

Subject No. 182.

Koleroga to areca crop.

Mr. S. R. Balakrishna Rao, Advocate.

Summary of Representation.—1. Owing to the annual attacks of koleroga, arecanut gardens in the Malnad do not yield crops sufficient to cover even their yearly assessment. Amildars may be made to make a special inspection of these gardens to test and note in the Pahani account the number of trees destroyed by the disease and proportionate assessment may be ordered to be remitted.

(2) The Agricultural Chemist, who made his tour of inspection in the summer of last year, may be asked to visit these gardens in the months of August and September when the koleroga generally puts in its appearance.

Reply of Government.—The subject is under investigation by the Agricultural Chemist.

Subject No. 183.

Reduction of demand notice fees.

Mr. Gangaiya.

Summary of Representation.—(1) Four khists are prescribed by Government for payment of the kandayam by a raiyat, but on default of payment of any one of the khists, notice will be issued to the khatedar for the immediate payment of the full amount of that as well as the subsequent khists. As this entails a great suffering to the defaulters, concession must be shown to them by issuing notice only for the amount of the khist under default. Present rates of notice fee are annas four and annas eight. As these are excessive, reasonable reduction must be made in them.

(2) Mr. Sivappa said that notice fee may not be levied unless separate agency is employed for service.

Reply of Government.—Cannot be done. Why should you give room for the issue of such process?

Subject No. 184.

Irregularity in recovering fees on demand notice and sale Istihars.

Mr. Nadiga Sivappa of Sorab.

Summary of Representation.—When temporary processpeons are not employed for serving demand sale notices on Revenue defaulters, the work is delegated to village servants. Notice fees, etc., are collected in some taluks, and no fees are collected in others. A uniform procedure after due enquiry is prayed for.